

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

In re:	)	Case No. 20-12345 (MG)
	)	
THE ROMAN CATHOLIC DIOCESE OF	)	Chapter 11
ROCKVILLE CENTRE, NEW YORK,	)	
	)	
Debtor.	)	
	)	

---

**NOTICE OF FILING OF REVISED PROPOSED LETTER OF OFFICIAL  
COMMITTEE OF UNSECURED CREDITORS WITH RESPECT TO THE DEBTOR'S  
FOURTH MODIFIED FIRST AMENDED PLAN**

**PLEASE TAKE NOTICE** that attached as Exhibit A is the Revised Proposed Letter of the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors (the “Revised Committee Plan Letter”) with Respect to the Debtor’s Fourth Modified First Amended Plan (the “Debtor’s Plan”).<sup>1</sup>

**PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE** the Committee is submitting the Committee Plan Letter for inclusion in the Solicitation Materials in connection the Debtor’s Plan.

**PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE** that the Committee reserves the right to amend or withdraw the Committee Plan Letter based on the final, Bankruptcy Court-approved version of the Debtor’s Disclosure Statement, if any.

**PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE** that attached as Exhibit B hereto is a redline version comparing the Revised Proposed Committee Plan Letter against the Original Proposed Committee Plan Letter.

---

<sup>1</sup> On February 13, 2024, the Debtor filed its *Fourth Modified First Amended Plan of Reorganization* [Docket No. 2908] and *Fifth Modified Disclosure Statement* (the “Debtor’s Disclosure Statement”) on February 13, 2024 [Docket No. 2910]. Capitalized terms used and not otherwise defined herein have the meanings ascribed thereto in the Debtor’s Disclosure Statement. On February 7, 2024, the Committee filed the Proposed Letter of the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors [Docket No. 2892] (the “Original Proposed Committee Plan Letter”).

The Committee notes that the Revised Committee Letter reflects changes to describe certain additional child protection and reconciliation provisions that the Committee believes should be included in the Plan. In each consensual confirmed plan of reorganization in a Diocesan case, the plan contained specific measures to provide additional protections for children and to promote healing and reconciliation of survivors of childhood sexual abuse. These non-monetary issues are of great importance to survivors. The Committee believes that any plan confirmed in this case should contain similar provisions, and that it is important for survivors to know that the Plan does not contain any of these additional measures.

The Committee met and conferred with the Diocese concerning the Revised Committee Letter and accepted certain comments but rejected others. It is the Committee's understanding that the Debtor opposes the inclusion of the additional child protection and reconciliation provisions in the Revised Committee Letter.

The Revised Committee Letter also includes language agreed with certain insurers.

**PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE** that this Notice is being sent for informational purposes only and that the Committee Plan Letter has not been approved by the Bankruptcy Court for distribution for any purpose.

**PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE THAT THIS IS NOT A SOLICITATION OF VOTES TO ACCEPT OR REJECT THE PLAN. VOTES ON THE PLAN MAY NOT BE SOLICITED UNLESS AND UNTIL THE PROPOSED DISCLOSURE STATEMENT IS APPROVED BY AN ORDER OF THE COURT.**

Dated: February 14, 2024

**PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP**

/s/ James I. Stang

James I. Stang, Esq. (admitted *pro hac vice*)

Karen B. Dine, Esq.

Iain Nasatir, Esq.

Brittany M. Michael, Esq.

780 Third Avenue, 36th Floor

New York, New York 10017

Tel: 212-561-7700

Fax: 212-561-7777

Email: jstang@pszjlaw.com  
kdine@pszjlaw.com  
inasatir@pszjlaw.com  
bmichael@pszjlaw.com

*Counsel for the Official Committee of Unsecured  
Creditors*

**EXHIBIT A**

**DRAFT\*\*\*NOT APPROVED FOR OR FOR PURPOSES OF SOLICITATION**

**THE COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS THAT SEXUAL ABUSE SURVIVORS  
VOTE TO REJECT THE DIOCESE PLAN**

The Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors in the chapter 11 bankruptcy of The Roman Catholic Diocese of Rockville Centre New York urges you and all survivors to **vote to REJECT the Diocese's Plan**. The Committee, comprised of 9 members, 8 of whom are themselves survivors, and appointed by the U.S. Justice Department (U.S. Trustee), believes the Plan is not worthy of your support.

**The fundamental problems with the Diocese's Plan are that the actual settlement amount for survivors is far too low, and it does not treat all survivors fairly. While the Diocese speaks of its "substantial" insurance coverage, the Diocese's insurance is tied up in lawsuits with no insurance payments expected in the immediate future.**

**The Diocese's stated settlement number for all claims, not just claims of survivors, is \$200 million. The devil is in the details. The Committee estimates that the amount that will go to survivors could be less than \$135 million.**

**The Committee urges all survivors or their counsel to attend the Committee's "Town Hall" meetings at which we will discuss its recommendation to vote to reject the Plan. You can get the schedule and Zoom link for the Town Hall meetings by emailing [DRVUCC@pszjlaw.com](mailto:DRVUCC@pszjlaw.com).**

The key flaws in Diocese's Plan include:

- The Diocese is not proposing any **additional** child protection measures or any non-monetary measures for reconciliation and healing of survivors.
- The Parishes are paying far too little to resolve the claims of survivors against them given their responsibility for the abuse and their substantial cash and real estate assets.
- The Diocese promotes a total bankruptcy settlement amount of \$200 million acknowledging that \$10s of millions of that total settlement will not be paid to survivors. The amount of the total bankruptcy settlement number available to pay survivors is reduced by the following:
  - At least \$16 million to pay Diocese bankruptcy-related expenses, including the fees of the Diocese's professionals.
  - \$4 million for creditors who are not survivors.
  - \$9.9 million being set aside for "future" survivors (survivors whose Abuse occurred prior to the Diocese's bankruptcy, but who have a valid legal excuse for not filing a timely claim). If no or few such claimants come forward, any of the \$9.9 million that is not used to pay future claims goes back to the Diocese instead of going towards the settlement for you and other current survivors.

- Potentially \$10s of millions in expenses for the Diocese to continue to fight 130+ survivors rather than to resolve those Diocese-disputed abuse claims through a simplified evaluation process. The Diocese intends to use its own lawyers to fight survivors' claims and does not tell you how much that will cost or how long it will take.
- The Diocese promises that it has lots of insurance that will increase the settlement but it does not tell the whole story. Getting the insurance companies to pay is not as easy as the Diocese makes it sound. The insurers have not paid anything yet and reserve all rights, including the right to decline coverage. The legal fees for the fights with the insurance companies will come out of the \$200 million total bankruptcy settlement. The Diocese does not estimate how much the fights with the insurance companies will cost or how long that fights will take.
- \$50 million of the total bankruptcy settlement number is paid over three years - with no interest and those payments are not secured by a mortgage on any property. Thus, there is a risk that the Diocese, Parishes and/or Seminary will not make the payment leaving the Settlement Trustees to chase after the Diocese, Parishes and/or Seminary for those monies (and having to use money that would otherwise go to pay survivors to try and collect any unpaid funds).
- Additionally, because the \$50 million is paid over time without interest, the Committee estimates its real value should be reduced by \$4 million.
- Under the Plan, the Parishes get releases for any abuse claims. The Parishes are not paying a fair amount for those releases. Exhibit 5 to the Debtor's Disclosure Statement shows the Parishes ***have over \$200 million in cash and other assets*** before considering their substantial real estate. The Diocese and the Parishes do not provide any values for most of their real estate. The Committee believes that the Parish real estate has significant value that could be used to compensate survivors. Reviewing public information of the Parish real estate indicates that the real estate has a total assessed value of about \$57 million and with a market value of over a billion dollars. Collectively the Parishes are only contributing \$78.1 million in cash (over three years) notwithstanding their substantial assets and potential liability for hundreds of claims relating to childhood sexual abuse.
- The Diocese proposes that the Cemetery Corporation and Cemetery Trust contribute only \$10 million in cash to resolve fraud claims against them resulting from the Diocese's transfer of ***\$100s of millions*** to the Cemetery Corporation and Cemetery Trust before the bankruptcy. While the Diocese is asking the Cemetery Trust to make a \$35 million loan to the Diocese that will further fund the settlement, the Diocese (not the Cemetery Trust) is securing that loan with the Diocese's ownership of an insurance company known as Ecclesia and a mortgage on the Diocese's broadcast licenses. Thus, the Diocese would be using its own property to get this loan and claiming that the contribution is from the Cemetery Trust. The Committee believes that the Cemetery Corporation and the Cemetery Trust should make a far larger contribution to settle the fraud claims against them. If the Cemetery Trust and Cemetery Corporation even agreed to this proposed

settlement (and we do not know if they will agree), the Committee does not believe that the proposed settlement is fair.

- There are two separate settlement trusts that will pay you depending on when your abuse happened and the potential insurance coverage for that abuse. Your recovery could vary significantly depending on which settlement trust (or settlement subtrust) you are assigned to by the Diocese meaning that some survivors may receive a greater percentage of recovery than others with similar claims. That is not fair to all survivors.
- Because certain Abuse Claimants receive a guaranteed minimum payment regardless of the abuse suffered, survivors with more severe claims may receive a lower percentage of recovery than survivors with “less severe” claims. That is not fair to all survivors.
- The Diocese intends to continue fighting over at least 130 survivors’ claims. None of those 130 survivors can receive a payment, other than the minimum consideration payments, until all those 130+ claims are finally resolved. Resolving all such claims could take years. After all these years, continuing to fight survivors in court is not fair.
- The Diocese intends to hire its own lawyers to carry on the fight with those 130+ survivors. Those lawyers’ fees are paid from the settlement funds assigned to help those very same survivors. If those lawyers’ fees are more than the amount of the settlement funds set aside for those survivors, then such survivors who have allowed claims may receive *nothing* from those settlement funds and would only receive the minimum consideration payments. Using monies assigned to pay survivors to fight with survivors is not fair. All of the survivors’ claims should go through the same determination process rather than the Diocese wasting survivor money to fight survivor claims separately.

**The Committee also believes that the Debtor’s Plan should include provisions to improve their existing child protection protocols to promote the healing of survivors, including, but not limited to, the following:**

- Retaining a lay expert Child Protection Consultant and following the Consultant’s recommendations;
- Publicly publishing documents related to Abuse;
- Releasing survivors from existing confidentiality restrictions;
- Bishop’s commitment to participate personally in survivor forums/discussion groups unrelated to religious services;
- Issuing individual and public apology letters signed by the Bishop, including recognition of the courage of survivors who have come forward;
- Removing perpetrator recognitions on buildings; and
- Creating places of remembrance at the Chancery, parishes and schools.

If enough survivors vote to reject the Diocese’s Plan, the Diocese’s bankruptcy case may be dismissed, leaving you free to pursue any viable claims against the Diocese, its Parishes and the other non-debtor affiliates of the Diocese subject to potential delays due to one of the Diocese’s

insurer's insolvency proceedings, as well as delays in litigation generally, and for those that do not have pending lawsuits a limited time to commence such a suit against the Diocese (subject to statutes of limitations and other applicable defenses).

The Committee believes that survivors as a group can do better if the Diocese's Plan is rejected. However, there can be no guaranty that survivors will do better and individual survivors may receive nothing or less than they would if the Diocese Plan is accepted and confirmed.

For more detailed information, we welcome your attendance at the Committee's Town Halls. Schedule and Zoom link for the Town Hall meetings can be found by emailing [DRVUCC@pszjlaw.com](mailto:DRVUCC@pszjlaw.com).

***We urge Abuse Claimants to join with us in opposing the Plan and to  
VOTE TO REJECT***

**FEBRUARY \_\_, 2024**

***THE OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF UNSECURED CREDITORS***



**EXHIBIT B**

**DRAFT\*\*\*NOT APPROVED FOR OR FOR PURPOSES OF SOLICITATION**

**THE COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS THAT SEXUAL ABUSE SURVIVORS  
VOTE TO REJECT THE DIOCESE PLAN**

The Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors in the chapter 11 bankruptcy of The Roman Catholic Diocese of Rockville Centre New York urges you and all survivors to vote to REJECT the Diocese's Plan. The Committee, comprised of 9 members, 8 of whom are themselves survivors, and appointed by the U.S. Justice Department (U.S. Trustee), believes the Plan is not worthy of your support.

The fundamental problems with the Diocese's Plan are that the ~~Diocese is not doing anything more to protect children, the~~ actual settlement amount for survivors is far too low, and it does not treat all survivors fairly. ~~The~~While the Diocese speaks of its "substantial" insurance coverage, the Diocese's insurance is tied up in lawsuits with no insurance payments expected in the immediate future.

The Diocese's ~~"headline"~~stated settlement number for all claims, not just claims of survivors, is \$200 million. The devil is in the details. The Committee estimates that the amount that will go to survivors could be less than \$135 million.

The Committee urges all survivors or their counsel to attend the Committee's "Town Hall" meetings at which we will discuss its recommendation to vote to reject the Plan. You can get the schedule and Zoom link for the Town Hall meetings by emailing [DRVUCC@pszjlaw.com](mailto:DRVUCC@pszjlaw.com).

The key flaws in Diocese's Plan include:

- The Diocese is not ~~doing anything to improve its policies and procedures to protect children. For the Diocese, it is all about the money.~~ proposing any additional child protection measures or any non-monetary measures for reconciliation and healing of survivors.
- The Parishes are paying far too little to resolve the claims of survivors against them given their responsibility for the abuse and their substantial cash and real estate assets.
- The Diocese ~~"headlines"~~apromotes a total bankruptcy settlement amount of \$200 million ~~which grossly overstates how much survivors will get out of the~~acknowledging that \$10s of millions of that total settlement will not be paid to survivors. The ~~"headline"~~amount of the total bankruptcy settlement number available to pay survivors is reduced by the following ~~amounts~~:
  - At least \$16 million to pay Diocese bankruptcy-related expenses, including the fees of the Diocese's professionals.
  - \$4 million for creditors who are not survivors.
  - \$9.9 million being set aside for ~~certain~~ "future" survivors ~~who did~~(survivors whose Abuse occurred prior to the Diocese's bankruptcy, but who have a valid legal excuse for not file filing a timely claims-if). If no or few such claimants come forward, any

- of the money \$9.9 million that is not used ~~up, it to~~ pay future claims goes back to the Diocese instead of going towards the settlement for you and other current survivors.
- Potentially \$10s of millions in expenses for the Diocese to continue to fight ~~certain~~ 130+ survivors rather than to resolve those Diocese-disputed abuse claims through a simplified evaluation process. The Diocese intends to use its own lawyers to fight survivors' claims and does not ~~even try to~~ tell you how much that will cost or how long it will take.
  - The Diocese promises that it has lots of insurance that will increase the settlement but it does not tell the whole story. Getting the insurance companies to pay is not as easy as the Diocese makes it sound. ~~All the insurance companies are saying that they do~~ The insurers have not ~~owe~~ paid anything ~~at yet~~ and reserve all ~~and one of the insurance companies has gone "bankrupt" itself~~ fights, including the right to decline coverage. The legal fees for the fights with the insurance companies will come out of the ~~"headline"~~ \$200 million total bankruptcy settlement. The Diocese does not ~~even try to~~ estimate how much the fights with the insurance companies will cost or how long that fights will take.
  - \$50 million of the ~~"headline"~~ total bankruptcy settlement number is paid over three years - with no interest and those payments are not secured by a mortgage on any property. Thus, there is a risk that the Diocese, Parishes and/or Seminary will not make the payment leaving the Settlement Trustees to chase after the Diocese, Parishes and/or Seminary for those monies (and having to use money that would otherwise go to pay survivors to try and collect any unpaid funds).
  - Additionally, because the \$50 million is ~~not paid upfront but~~ paid over time without interest, the Committee estimates its real value should be reduced by \$4 million.
- Under the Plan, the Parishes get releases for any abuse claims. The Parishes are not paying a fair amount for those releases. Exhibit 5 to the Debtor's Disclosure Statement shows the Parishes ***have over \$200 million in cash and other assets*** before considering their substantial real estate. The Diocese and the Parishes do not provide any values for most of their real estate. The Committee believes that the Parish real estate has significant value that could be used to compensate survivors. Reviewing public information of the Parish real estate indicates that the real estate has a total assessed value of about \$57 million and with a market value of over a billion dollars. Collectively the Parishes are only contributing \$78.1 million in cash (over three years) notwithstanding their substantial assets and potential liability for hundreds of claims relating to childhood sexual abuse.
  - The Diocese proposes that the Cemetery Corporation and Cemetery Trust contribute only \$10 million in cash to resolve fraud claims against them resulting from the Diocese's transfer of ***\$100s of millions*** to the Cemetery Corporation and Cemetery Trust before the bankruptcy. While the Diocese is asking the Cemetery Trust to make a \$35 million loan to the Diocese that will further fund the settlement, the Diocese (not the Cemetery Trust) is securing that loan with the Diocese's ownership of an insurance company known as Ecclesia and a mortgage on the Diocese's broadcast licenses. Thus, the Diocese would be using its own property to get this loan and claiming that the contribution is from the

Cemetery Trust. The Committee believes that the Cemetery Corporation and the Cemetery Trust should make a far larger contribution to settle the fraud claims against them. If the Cemetery Trust and Cemetery Corporation even agreed to this proposed settlement (and we do not know if they will agree), the Committee does not believe that the proposed settlement is fair.

- There are two separate settlement trusts that will pay you depending on when your abuse happened and the potential insurance coverage for that abuse. Your recovery could vary significantly depending on which settlement trust (or settlement subtrust) you are assigned to by the Diocese meaning that some survivors ~~will~~may receive a greater percentage of recovery than others with similar claims. That is not fair to all survivors.
- Because certain Abuse Claimants receive a guaranteed minimum payment regardless of the abuse suffered, survivors with more severe claims may receive a lower percentage of recovery than survivors with “less severe” claims. That is not fair to all survivors.
- The Diocese intends to continue fighting over at least 130 survivors’ claims. None of those 130 survivors can receive a payment, other than the minimum consideration payments, until all those 130+ claims are finally resolved. Resolving all such claims could take years. After all these years, continuing to fight survivors in court is not fair.
- The Diocese intends to hire its own lawyers to carry on the fight with those 130+ survivors. Those lawyers’ fees are paid from the settlement funds assigned to help those very same survivors. If those lawyers’ fees are more than the amount of the settlement funds set aside for those survivors, then ~~the~~such survivors who have allowed claims may receive nothing from those settlement funds ~~for the other survivors will be used to carry on the fight~~and would only receive the minimum consideration payments. Using monies assigned to pay survivors to fight with survivors is not fair. All of the survivors’ claims should go through the same determination process rather than the Diocese wasting survivor money to fight survivor claims separately.

**The Committee also believes that the Debtor’s Plan should include provisions to improve their existing child protection protocols to promote the healing of survivors, including, but not limited to, the following:**

- Retaining a lay expert Child Protection Consultant and following the Consultant’s recommendations;
- Publicly publishing documents related to Abuse;
- Releasing survivors from existing confidentiality restrictions;
- Bishop’s commitment to participate personally in survivor forums/discussion groups unrelated to religious services;
- Issuing individual and public apology letters signed by the Bishop, including recognition of the courage of survivors who have come forward;
- Removing perpetrator recognitions on buildings; and
- Creating places of remembrance at the Chancery, parishes and schools.

If enough survivors vote to reject the Diocese’s Plan, the Diocese’s bankruptcy case may be dismissed, leaving you free to pursue any viable claims against the Diocese, its Parishes and the

other non-debtor affiliates of the Diocese (subject to potential delays due to one of the Diocese's insurer's insolvency proceedings, as well as delays in litigation generally, and for those that do not have pending lawsuits a limited time to commence such a suit against the Diocese (subject to statutes of limitations and other applicable defenses).

The Committee believes that survivors as a group can do better if the Diocese's Plan is rejected. However, there can be no guaranty that survivors will do better and individual survivors may receive nothing or less than they would if the Diocese Plan is accepted and confirmed.

For more detailed information, we welcome your attendance at the Committee's Town Halls. Schedule and Zoom link for the Town Hall meetings can be found by emailing [DRVUCC@pszjlaw.com](mailto:DRVUCC@pszjlaw.com).

***We urge Abuse Claimants to join with us in opposing the Plan and to  
VOTE TO REJECT***

**FEBRUARY \_\_, 2024**

***THE OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF UNSECURED CREDITORS***

Document comparison by Workshare Compare on Wednesday, February 14, 2024 1:05:52 PM

Input:	
Document 1 ID	netdocuments://4875-8606-3776/4
Description	DRVC Committee Letter Re Plan
Document 2 ID	netdocuments://4875-8606-3776/11
Description	DRVC Committee Letter Re Plan
Rendering set	Standard

Legend:	
<u>Insertion</u>	
<del>Deletion</del>	
<del>Moved from</del>	
<u>Moved to</u>	
Style change	
Format change	
<del>Moved deletion</del>	
Inserted cell	
Deleted cell	
Moved cell	
Split/Merged cell	
Padding cell	

Statistics:	
	Count
Insertions	56
Deletions	30
Moved from	0
Moved to	0
Style changes	0
Format changes	0
Total changes	86